



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/657,154 | 09/07/2000 | Shun Nakamura | K6510.0055/P055 | 9966 |
| 24998 | 7590 | 05/25/2004 | EXAMINER | |
| DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP | | | ENATSKY, AARON L | |
| 2101 L STREET NW | | | ART UNIT | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526 | | | PAPER NUMBER | |

3713
DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004

18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application

09/657,154

Applicant(s)

NAKAMURA ET AL.

Examiner

Aaron L Enatsky

Art Unit

3713

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Aaron L Enatsky.

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher S. Chow.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5/18/04.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,009,501 to Fenner; US 5,741,182 to Lipps.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed features of the invention that were allegedly not found in the prior art. Examiner pointed to the combination of Lipps in view of Fenner for the missing limitations. Examiner believes that the elements discussed, while not expressly stated in Applicant's choice of language, was present in the combination used in the prior rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Aaron L. Enatsky
Examiner's signature, if required